

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,983	03/24/2004		Luc Lachapelle	2738-5A 7062		
Eric Fincham	2590 12/19/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
316 Knowlton			CINTINS, IVARS C			
Lac Brome, QC CANADA	C J0E 1V0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
011111211				1724		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,
73
0

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/808,983	LACHAPELLE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ivars C. Cintins	1724		

Advisory Action	10/808,983	LACHAPELLE ET A	.L.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ross
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	, 633
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external patents of Appeal and State 1.25 for the second series of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external patents of Appeal and State 1.25 for the second series of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external patents of Appeal and State 1.25 for the second series of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)).	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date. Soliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ansion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e filed within two month avoid dismissal of the	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	77 CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	nsideration and/or search (see NOw); ter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rejuence. 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co: lowable if submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will wided below or appended.	TE below); ducing or simplifying the ected claims. mpliant Amendment (timely filed amendment) be entered and an ected an ected and an ected an ected and an ected and an ected an ected and an ected an ected and an ected and an ected an ected and an ected an	the issues for (PTOL-324). ent canceling the explanation of
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So n of the status of the claims after er	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 ntry is below or attach	ls to provide a l). ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Ivars C. Cintins Primary Examiner	ntens

Art Unit: 1724

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/808,983

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the magnesium oxide employed by Kruidhof must inherently come from some source, and since magnesium oxide is typically obtained from a quarry, as evidenced by Wehling et al. (see col. 3, lines 41-44), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the liquid purification art to employ quarry fines containing magnesium oxide in the reference process, since such quarry fines would normally be discarded as a waste product.